

A UNITED STATES  
DEPARTMENT OF  
COMMERCE  
PUBLICATION



# NOAA Technical Memorandum NWS HYDRO-12

**U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**  
**National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration**  
**National Weather Service**

## Direct Search Optimization in Mathematical Modeling and a Watershed Model Application

JOHN. C. MONRO

SILVER SPRING, MD.

April 1971

NOAA TECHNICAL MEMORANDA

National Weather Service, Office of Hydrology Series

The Office of Hydrology (HYDRO) of the National Weather Service (NWS) develops procedures for making river and water supply forecasts, analyzes hydrometeorological data for planning and design criteria for other agencies, and conducts pertinent research and development.

NOAA Technical Memoranda in the NWS HYDRO series facilitate prompt distribution of scientific and technical material by staff members, cooperators, and contractors. Information presented in this series may be preliminary in nature and may be published formally elsewhere at a later date. Publication 1 is in the former series, Weather Bureau Technical Notes (TN); publications 2 to 11 are in the former series, ESSA Technical Memoranda, Weather Bureau Technical Memoranda (WBTM). Beginning with 12, publications are now part of the series, NOAA Technical Memoranda NWS.

Publications listed below are available from the National Technical Information Service, U.S. Department of Commerce, Sills Bldg., 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, Va. 22151. Price: \$3.00 paper copy; \$0.95 microfiche. Order by accession number shown in parentheses at end of each entry.

Weather Bureau Technical Notes

- TN 44 HYDRO 1 Infrared Radiation from Air to Underlying Surface. Vance A. Myers, May 1966. (PB-170 664)

ESSA Technical Memoranda

- WBTM HYDRO 2 Annotated Bibliography of ESSA Publications of Hydrometeorological Interest. J. L. H. Paulhus, February 1967. (Superseded by WBTM HYDRO 8)

- WBTM HYDRO 3 The Role of Persistence, Instability, and Moisture in the Intense Rainstorms in Eastern Colorado, June 14-17, 1965. F. K. Schwarz, February 1967. (PB-174 609)

- WBTM HYDRO 4 Elements of River Forecasting. Marshall M. Richards and Joseph A. Strahl, October 1967. (Superseded by WBTM HYDRO 9)

- WBTM HYDRO 5 Meteorological Estimation of Extreme Precipitation for Spillway Design Floods. Vance A. Myers, October 1967. (PB-177 687)

- WBTM HYDRO 6 Annotated Bibliography of ESSA Publications of Hydrometeorological Interest. J. L. H. Paulhus, November 1967. (Superseded by WBTM HYDRO 8)

- WBTM HYDRO 7 Meteorology of Major Storms in Western Colorado and Eastern Utah. Robert L. Weaver, January 1968. (PB-177 491)

- WBTM HYDRO 8 Annotated Bibliography of ESSA Publications of Hydrometeorological Interest. J. L. H. Paulhus, August 1968. (PB-179 855)

- WBTM HYDRO 9 Elements of River Forecasting (Revised). Marshall M. Richards and Joseph A. Strahl, March 1969. (PB-185 969)

- WBTM HYDRO 10 Flood Warning Benefit Evaluation - Susquehanna River Basin (Urban Residences). Harold J. Day, March 1970. (PB-190 984)

- WBTM HYDRO 11 Joint Probability Method of Tide Frequency Analysis Applied to Atlantic City and Long Beach Island, N.J. Vance A. Myers, April 1970. (PB-192 745)

## ERRATA SHEET

Page 6: 2nd paragraph, 3rd line

... best fit ... should read ... best first ...

Page 25: 1st equation should read

$$Y = 100 [A(2) - A(1)^2]^2 + [1 - A(1)]^2$$

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration  
National Weather Service

NOAA Technical Memorandum NWS HYDRO-12

DIRECT SEARCH OPTIMIZATION IN MATHEMATICAL MODELING  
AND A WATERSHED MODEL APPLICATION

John C. Monro



Office of Hydrology

Silver Spring, Md.  
April 1971

UDC 556.072:518.5.001.57:681.14

556	Hydrology
.072	Models
518.5	Mechanical mathematical calculation
.001.57	Mathematical models
681.14	Computers

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Abstract	1
Introduction	1
Pattern Search: geometric description	3
Pattern Search: verbal description	5
Pattern Search: mathematical description	11
Concluding Remarks	13
References	15
Appendix A: flow chart	16
Appendix B: program listing	19
Appendix C: minimization of a function	24
Appendix D: least-squares fitting of a nonlinear regression function	34
Appendix E: optimal parameterization of a watershed model	43



DIRECT SEARCH OPTIMIZATION IN MATHEMATICAL MODELING  
AND A WATERSHED MODEL APPLICATION

John C. Monro  
National Weather Service

ABSTRACT. The purpose of this report is to describe and demonstrate the application of Pattern Search, a direct search optimization technique, to mathematical modeling. Pattern Search is explained in three ways: geometrically, verbally, and mathematically. Examples are given to demonstrate the uses of this technique. Input/output data are provided that may be used to check the accuracy of a duplication of the computer program. (Appendix B). It is shown that Pattern Search is a powerful technique for the objective determination of optimal values for model coefficients. The technique is applied to a Watershed Model.

#### INTRODUCTION

There has been an increasing need for the objective determination of an optimal set of coefficients for conceptual models. Models may be described by a single equation or by interconnected equations; a watershed model is an example of a multi-equation model. The optimization technique to be described provides objectivity in parameterizing conceptual models.

In the application of an optimization procedure, it is necessary to specify the basis upon which the best set (optimal set) of coefficients is to be judged. This must be an index dependent on the values of the

coefficients of the system under study. The index is referred to as the evaluation criterion and is generally minimized or maximized in the optimization procedure. In this study the index consists of only a single criterion of model performance. This does not imply that a combination of criteria is not possible.

Optimization techniques are usually divided into two methods: direct and indirect. Indirect methods involve mathematical manipulation of the objective function. First derivative equations with respect to each of the system coefficients are generated and set to zero. The solutions of these normal equations provide the optimum (optimal values for the coefficients) since the roots of the equations are also the location of the optimum. Because the indirect method determines the optimal coefficient values without examining any non-optimal solutions, indirect methods are very effective when they can be applied.

Direct methods start at an arbitrary point, as defined by the selected initial values for the coefficients, and proceed stepwise, sequentially examining trial values of the coefficients in an attempt to reach the optimum. At each stage of optimization there is successive improvement to the value of the evaluation criterion. The trial points are determined by a simple strategy that is usually based on the past changes to the coefficients.

Direct search techniques are especially useful where an analytic expression for the objective function is too complicated to be manipulated by the indirect method. Some advantages of direct search techniques:

- a) they require no knowledge of the form of the system of equations being optimized, (this is especially important in the optimum

parameterization of conceptual models, where it may be necessary to evaluate many inter-connected equations to obtain the desired coefficients).

- b) they provide for objective parameterization.
- c) they are well adapted for solution by digital computer.

A particular direct search technique, Pattern Search, which is a modified version of the original Pattern Search as developed by Hooke and Jeeves (1961) will be described. Pattern Search has an advantage over most other direct search techniques in that its structure is so simple.

#### PATTERN SEARCH: GEOMETRIC DESCRIPTION

In working with the Pattern Search technique it is helpful to first visualize a geometric picture of the process taking place. The Pattern Search technique attempts to establish a pattern of coefficient adjustments that will rapidly minimize (or maximize) the evaluation criterion.

If we consider a model with  $N$  coefficients to be optimized, then we are working with a  $N+1$  dimensional problem (an  $N+1$  hyperspace). The evaluation criterion defines the  $N+1$  th dimension. Since it is impossible to visualize a problem with greater than three spatial dimensions, a three dimensional case is considered. Let coefficients 1 and 2,  $A(1)$ ,  $A(2)$ , be represented on the  $x$ - $y$  plane and the evaluation criterion,  $Z$ , in the  $z$  direction. It is, however, necessary to remember that we will be dealing with a very simple case. Therefore, we should not be too literal in the following interpretations for higher dimensional problems.

Combinations of  $A(1)$  and  $A(2)$  values define a criterion value  $Z$ . The

set of Z values will define the response surface and this response surface may be pictured as a mountain range. The highest peak would be the global optimum value of the evaluation criterion for a maximization search, (the lowest valley point for a minimization search). The corresponding A(1) and A(2) values are the optimal values for coefficients 1 and 2 respectively. There may be several peaks, lower than the highest (separated by valleys), which are local optima.

The Pattern Search technique attempts to define the pattern of coefficient adjustments that will follow the ridge that leads to the highest peak on the response surface. The response surface (mountains) can be climbed rapidly only if there is a consistent direction of a ridge to the peak. As shown in figure 1, the contour lines of equal criterion value indicate a consistent direction to the optimum for cases a and c, but not for case b.




Figure 1.-- Contours of equal criterion value delineating that ridge which leads to the highest peak on a response surface

If there are several peaks, i.e. the response surface is not a unimodal function, then it is possible that the search technique will climb a "local" mountain and not the "global" mountain. The initial values assigned to the coefficients will, in general, determine which mountain is to be climbed.

However, to obtain reasonable certainty of global optimum values for the coefficients, it is necessary to perform a series of optimization studies with different initial values for the coefficients. The best evaluation criterion determines which coefficient combination would be selected.

#### PATTERN SEARCH: VERBAL DESCRIPTION

The process consists of starting with an initial set of coefficient values,  $A(I)$ , where  $I = 1, 2, \dots, N$ , successively adjusting them and testing the results. There are two types of adjustments known as "Local Excursion" (LE) and "Pattern Move" (PM). Pattern move is the most distinguishing feature of this technique, and it is this feature that contrasts the technique with a pure trial-and-error search.

The differences between the two types of adjustments, LE and PM, are as follows. In a local excursion, the coefficient increment ( $\delta$ ), is a fixed quantity, or a percentage of the present coefficient value. An adjustment is made only if it improves the optimizing criterion. In a pattern move, the size of the adjustment applied to each coefficient is determined from the trend of its past local excursions and is, in general much larger than the local excursion  $\delta$ . The resulting optimizing criterion is not used to accept or reject this move.

The optimizing evaluation criterion is arbitrary and the choice is left to the modeler. The choice may be the sum of the squares of the differences between the model output and the observed values; for example, for a watershed model it might be the sum of the squares of the errors in simulated and observed mean daily discharge values. For curve fitting, it might be the sum of the squares of the errors in observed and predicted data points. It may be the minimum value of the function itself. The criterion might also be absolute differences, logarithmic differences, maximum errors, etc., between observed and predicted system outputs. In general, the "best fit" coefficients will depend on the modeler's choice of what will be the criterion.

Before starting the optimizing process, each coefficient has an initial value and a delta assigned to it. The initial value of these coefficients may be the result of a best fit guess or of a random choice routine. The delta value is arbitrarily selected, but should be small compared to its corresponding coefficient value and the delta may differ for various coefficients.

The system (model) output and the criterion function are calculated with the system coefficients at their initial values. These calculations are performed in the "Main" computer program and examples are given in appendices C, D, and E. The calculated criterion value represents its "base" value.

The first step in optimization is a local excursion. The initial value of the first coefficient A(1) is increased by its delta. The Main Program is re-run with this change coefficient value and the original values for the

remaining coefficients. If the evaluation criterion is now better than its base value, the first coefficient is held at its new value and the criterion base value reset to its improved value. If the criterion is not improved, the delta value is subtracted from the initial value and the program re-run. If this improves the criterion, the new value is held. The original value is retained if in both cases the optimizing evaluation criterion did not improve. The second coefficient, A(2), is then subjected to the same process and, for a dimensional problem greater than 3, the process would continue until all coefficients had been so treated. This would complete the local excursion.

An example is given in figure 2. In case 1, A(1) was first given a plus delta and the criterion did not improve. However, there was an improvement with a negative delta. Then A(2), plus its delta, improved the evaluation criterion value, corresponding to the adjusted A(1) coefficient and the original A(2) value. Since we have adjusted all coefficients and there was improvement to the criterion, the local excursion has been completed. This establishes the pattern for the pattern move, which is the next step.

In this move the magnitude of the increment applied to the first coefficient is equal to:

$$\xi^i(1) = \left[ \begin{array}{l} \text{present value of} \\ \text{coefficient 1} \end{array} \right] - \left[ \begin{array}{l} \text{previous local excursion} \\ \text{value of coefficient 1} \end{array} \right]$$

where:

$\xi^i(1)$ : the pattern increment applied to the first coefficient during the  $i$  th pattern move.




Figure 2. --Pattern Search moves resulting in delineation of ridges on response surfaces.

The pattern move adjustment for a given coefficient for any given pattern move can be calculated by the following equation:

$$\zeta^i(I) = N(I) * \text{DELTA}(I)$$

$$N(I) = n_1(I) - n_2(I)$$

where:

$n_1(I)$ : the number of previous successful (+) local excursions for  $A(I)$

$n_2(I)$ : the number of previous successful (-) local excursions for  $A(I)$

Pattern move adjustment values for coefficient  $A(1)$ , for case 1, may be determined by use of table 1.

Table 1. -- Pattern move adjustment values for coefficient  $A(1)$  of case 1, figure 2

Pattern Move (i)	$n_1(1)$	$n_2(1)$	$N(1)$	$\zeta^i(1)$
1	0	1	-1	-1*DELTA(1)
2	0	2	-2	-2*DELTA(1)
3	0	3	-3	-3*DELTA(1)
4	1	3	-2	-2*DELTA(1)

The logic of the pattern move is the increasing size of the pattern move adjustment for each coefficient, as long as the local excursions of that coefficient have shown a persistence of direction. When this persistence ceases to exist, the pattern move adjustment reduces (for coefficient  $A(1)$ , figure 2, case 1,  $PM_4$ ), and eventually reverses direction.

The process, local excursions alternating with pattern moves, continues until there is deterioration of results. Three distinct situations are

possible, with corresponding corrective measures, and they are as follows:

(a) the evaluation criterion value is poorer after, than before a pattern move and cannot be corrected by the subsequent local excursion. The indication is that the pattern move adjustments have become too large, (figure 2, case 2, PM<sub>4</sub>). The large pattern moves precludes the fine adjustments that must be made to individual coefficients to provide the needed interrelationship among them. Since the old pattern cannot be continued, it is abandoned and we back track one cycle, adopting the values of the coefficients resulting from the last local excursion. The pattern move increments must then be reset to their original small values.

(b) the pattern move improves results, but the following local excursion does not. A resolution maneuver is in order. In a resolution maneuver the local excursion deltas are halved, which enables a more refined excursion to be made.

(c) a pattern has been abandoned (destroyed) and the following local excursion does not improve results. A resolution maneuver is made and the deltas are halved, (figure 2, case 3, LE<sub>4</sub>).

When the deltas have been halved a preselected number of times the solution is considered complete. The length of computer time for the procedure may be controlled by specifying the maximum number of local excursions that may be used.

## PATTERN SEARCH: MATHEMATICAL DESCRIPTION

The following mathematical description refers to the cases presented in figure 2. Only mathematics for coefficient A(1) will be presented. Define:

$A^i(1)$ : the value of coefficient 1 after the  $i$  th pattern move.

$BA^i(1)$ : the value of coefficient 1 after the  $i$  th local excursion.

$B^i(1)$ : the value of coefficient 1 after the  $i$  th local excursion

$LE_i$  : the  $i$  th local excursion

$PM_i$  : the  $i$  th pattern move

Case 1 (typical mathematics):

$$\text{Initial: } A^0(1) = B^0(1)$$

$$BA^0(1) = A^0(1)$$

$$LE_1 : BA^1(1) = A^0(1) - \text{DELTA}(1)$$

$$PM_1 : A^1(1) = 2 * BA^1(1) - B^0(1)$$

$$A^1(1) = A^0(1) - 2 * \text{DELTA}(1)$$

$$B^1(1) = BA^1(1)$$

$$LE_2 : BA^2(1) = A^1(1) - \text{DELTA}(1)$$

$$PM_2 : A^2(1) = 2 * BA^2(1) - B^1(1)$$

$$A^2(1) = 2 * BA^2(1) - BA^1(1)$$

$$A^2(1) = 2 * [A^1(1) - \text{DELTA}(1)] - [A^0(1) - \text{DELTA}(1)]$$

$$A^2(1) = 2 * [A^0(1) - 3 * \text{DELTA}(1)] - [A^0(1) - \text{DELTA}(1)]$$

$$A^2(1) = A^0(1) - 5 * \text{DELTA}(1)$$

or

$$A^2(1) = A^1(1) - 3 \text{ DELTA}(1)$$

$$B^2(1) = BA^2(1)$$

$$LE_3 : BA^3(1) = A^2(1) - \text{DELTA}(1)$$

$$PM_3 : A^3(1) = 2 * BA^3(1) - B^2(1)$$

$$A^3(1) = 2 * BA^3(1) - BA^2(1)$$

$$A^3(1) = 2 * [A^2(1) - \text{DELTA}(1)] - [A^1(1) - \text{DELTA}(1)]$$

since

$$A^2(1) = A^0(1) - 5 * \text{DELTA}(1)$$

$$A^1(1) = A^0(1) - 2 * \text{DELTA}(1)$$

then

$$A^3(1) = A^0(1) - 9 * \text{DELTA}(1)$$

or

$$A^3(1) = A^2(1) - 4 * \text{DELTA}(1)$$

$$B^3(1) = BA^3(1)$$

$$LE_4 : BA^4(1) = A^3(1) + \text{DELTA}(1)$$

$$PM_4 : A^4(1) = 2 * BA^4(1) - B^3(1)$$

$$A^4(1) = 2 * BA^4(1) - BA^3(1)$$

$$A^4(1) = 2 * [A^3(1) + \text{DELTA}(1)] - [A^2(1) - \text{DELTA}(1)]$$

since

$$A^3(1) = A^0(1) - 9 * \text{DELTA}(1)$$

$$A^2(1) = A^0(1) - 5 * \text{DELTA}(1)$$

then

$$A^4(1) = A^0(1) - 10 * \text{DELTA}(1)$$

or

$$A^4(1) = A^3(1) - 1 * \text{DELTA}(1)$$

$$B^4(1) = BA^4(1)$$

Case 2 (destroying a pattern):

$$LE_5 : BA^5(1) = A^4(1)$$

and

the value of the objective function was better prior to PM<sub>4</sub>.

PM<sub>5</sub> : destroy pattern

$$BA^5(1) = BA^4(1)$$

$$BA^5(1) = A^3(1) + \text{DELTA}(1)$$

$$B^5(1) = BA^5(1)$$

Case 3 (halve delta-resolution):

$$LE_5 : BA^5(1) = A^4(1)$$

and

the value of the objective function was better prior to PM<sub>4</sub>.

PM<sub>5</sub> : destroy pattern

$$BA^5(1) = BA^4(1)$$

$$BA^5(1) = A^3(1) + \text{DELTA}(1)$$

$$B^5(1) = BA^5(1)$$

$$LE_6 : BA^6(1) = BA^5(1)$$

$$BA^6(1) = A^3(1) + \text{DELTA}(1)$$

[since there is no improvement to the objective function halve DELTA(1)]

$$LE_7 : BA^6(1) = A^3(1) + \text{DELTA}(1)/2$$

#### CONCLUDING REMARKS

Pattern Search is a powerful technique for objectively determining optimal values for model coefficients. However, we must realize some

potential problems that might arise when using this direct search optimization technique.

Although the optimization time increases only with the first power of the number of coefficients being optimized, a large dimensional problem may take an excessive amount of computer time. When there is a large amount of interaction between system coefficients, as in most watershed models, optimization may proceed slowly because it is occasionally difficult to establish large pattern moves.


To be reasonably certain that global optimum values for the coefficients have been attained, we may need to perform a series of optimization studies with different initial values for the coefficients.

## REFERENCES


- Dawdy, D.R., (with O'Donnell, T.) "Mathematical Models of Catchment Behavior," Journal of the Hydraulics Division, American Society of Civil Engineers, HY4 (July 1965), pp. 123-137.
- Hooke, R., (with Jeeves, T.A.), "'Direct Search' Solution of Numerical and Statistical Problems," Journal of the Association for Computing Machines, Vol. 8, No. 2, April 1961, pp. 212-229.
- Wilde, Douglass J., Optimum Seeking Methods, Prentice-Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, 1964, 202pp.
- Wilde, Douglass J., (with Beightler, C.S.), Foundations of Optimization, Prentice-Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, 1967, 480pp.

APPENDIX A  
FLOW CHART


START



FLOW CHART--Continued



FLOW CHART--Continued



APPENDIX B  
PROGRAM LISTING

```

SUBROUTINE OPT
*****
C PATTERN SEARCH WITH MODIFICATIONS
*****
C DEFINITION OF PROGRAM VARIABLES
C
C NUMA= NUMBER OF A(I) COEFFICIENTS TO BE OPTIMIZED
C
C A(I)= VALUE OF COEFFICIENT I AFTER LAST PATTERN MOVE
C
C B(I)= VALUE OF COEFFICIENT I AFTER PREVIOUS LOCAL EXCURSION
C
C BA(I)= VALUE OF COEFFICIENT I AFTER PRESENT LOCAL EXCURSION
C
C NPER= IF = 1 DDELTA(I) MUST BE IN PERCENT/100
C       IF = 0 DDELTA(I) MUST BE AN ABSOLUTE VALUE
C
C DDELTA(I)= WHEN NPER=1  DELTA(I)=ABS(DDELTA(I)*A(I))
C             WHEN NPER=0  DELTA(I)=DDELTA(I)
C
C DELTA(I)= INCREMENT ADDED OR SUBTRACTED TO A(I) DURING A LOCAL EXCURSION
C
C CHECKL(I)= LOWER CONSTRAINT ON A(I)
C
C CHECKH(I)= UPPER CONSTRAINT ON A(I)
C
C OPTIM= VALUE OF THE OPTIMIZATION CRITERION
C
C NN= NUMBER OF TIMES MAIN PROGRAM HAS CALLED OPT
C
C NSIGN(I)= NSIGN(I)=0  THEN + DELTA(I) APPLIED FIRST
C           NSIGN(I)=1  - DELTA(I) APPLIED FIRST
C
C MAXN= MAXIMUM NUMBER OF TIMES MAIN PROGRAM MAY CALL OPT BEFORE
C       OPTIMIZATION IS ABORTED
C
C KC= MAXIMUM NUMBER OF TIMES DELTA(I) MAY BE HALVED BEFORE
C       OPTIMIZATION IS TERMINATED (MAXN OVER-RIDES KC)
*****
C THIS PROGRAM IN ITS PRESENT FORM IS FOR MINIMIZATION
C       TO CONVERT TO A MAXIMIZATION FORMAT
C
C REPLACE                               WITH
C   IF(YS.GT.YY) GO TO 1008           IF(YS.LT.YY) GO TO 1008
C   8  IF(YX.GT.YS) GO TO 11          8  IF(YX.LT.YS) GO TO 11
C   IF(YS.GT.YY) GO TO 1007           IF(YS.LT.YY) GO TO 1007
C   16 IF(YX.GT.YS) GO TO 19          16 IF(YX.LT.YS) GO TO 19
C
COMMON A(18),DDELTA(18),CHECKL(18),CHECKH(18)
COMMON OPTIM,NUMA,NSTART,NPER,KC,MAXN
DIMENSION DELTA(18),BA(18),B(18),NSIGN(18),LES(18)
DIMENSION ICLOSEL(18),ICLOSEH(18)

```

## PROGRAM LISTING--Continued

```

C IF (NSTART.GT.0) GO TO 2
*****  

C C INITIALIZATION ROUTINE  

C *****  

DO 1 I=1,NUMA
LES(I)=0
BA(I)=A(I)
B(I)=A(I)
ICLOSEL(I)=0
ICLOSEH(I)=0
IF (NPER.GT.0) GO TO 100
DELTA(I)=DDELTA(I)
GO TO 101
100 DELTA(I)=ABS(DDELTA(I)*A(I))
101 CC=A(I)-1.01*DELTA(I)
IF(CC.LE.CHECKL(I)) GO TO 3000
CC=A(I)+1.01*DELTA(I)
IF(CC.GE.CHECKH(I)) GO TO 3000
1 CONTINUE
PRINT 1000
1000 FORMAT(1H1)
LC=0
IT=1
IZY=0
NN=0
NCOUN=1
ICOUN=0
IFIRS=0
LDELT=0
NSTART=1
NSAVE=0
PRINT 3,(I,I=1,NUMA)
PRINT 221
221 FORMAT(21X*INITIAL VALUES OF THE COEFFICIENTS*)
*****  

C 2 YS=OPTIM
NN=NN+1
IF(NN.GT.MAXN) GO TO 7000
IF(IFIRS.EQ.1) GO TO 4
YX=OPTIM
YY=YX
IFIRS=1
4 PRINT 5,NCOUN,NN,YS,(A(I),I=1,NUMA)
5 FORMAT(16,I5,E10.3,18F6.3)
3 FORMAT(* TRIAL RUN CRITERION*18(3H A(,I2,1H)))
44 IF(LES(IT).EQ.1) GO TO 14
IF(IZY.GT.0) GO TO 8
IF(YS.GT.YY) GO TO 1008
NSAVE=1
YX=YS
YY=YS
1008 PRINT 3,(I,I=1,NUMA)
6 IZY=IZY+1
IT=IZY

```

## PROGRAM LISTING--Continued

```

        IF(LES(IZY).EQ.1) GO TO 107
108 LL=0
C *****
C LOCAL EXCURSION ROUTINE
C *****
C LOCAL EXCURSION WITH + DELTA(I) FIRST
C *****
C A(IZY)=A(IZY)+DELTA(IZY)
NSIGN(IZY)=0
IF(ICLOSEH(IZY).EQ.0) GO TO 7
LL=LL+1
GO TO 88
7 LL=LL+1
GO TO 6000
8 IF(YX.GT.YS) GO TO 11
88 GO TO (9,10,12),LL
9 A(IZY)=A(IZY)-2.0*DELTA(IZY)
NSIGN(IZY)=1
IF(ICLOSEL(IZY).EQ.1) GO TO 10
GO TO 7
10 A(IZY)=A(IZY)+DELTA(IZY)
NSIGN(IZY)=0
GO TO 12
11 YX=YS
12 IF(IZY.LT.NUMA) GO TO 6
IT=1
IZY=0
IF(YY.EQ.YX) GO TO 25
YY=YY
GO TO 210
C *****
C LOCAL EXCURSION WITH - DELTA(I) FIRST
C *****
14 IF(IZY.GT.0) GO TO 16
IF(YS.GT.YY) GO TO 1007
NSAVE=1
YX=YS
YY=YS
1007 PRINT 3,(I,I=1,NUMA)
106 IZY=IZY+1
IT=IZY
IF(LES(IZY).EQ.0) GO TO 108
107 LL=0
A(IZY)=A(IZY)-DELTA(IZY)
NSIGN(IZY)=1
IF(ICLOSEL(IZY).EQ.0) GO TO 15
LL=LL+1
GO TO 166
15 LL=LL+1
GO TO 6000
16 IF(YX.GT.YS) GO TO 19
166 GO TO (17,18,20),LL
17 A(IZY)=A(IZY)+2.0*DELTA(IZY)

```

PROGRAM LISTING--Continued

```

NSIGN(IZY)=0
IF(ICLOSEH(IZY).EQ.1) GO TO 18
GO TO 15
18 A(IZY)=A(IZY)-DELTA(IZY)
NSIGN(IZY)=1
GO TO 20
19 YX=YS
20 IF(IZY.LT.NUMA) GO TO 106
IT=1
IZY=0
IF(YY.EQ.YX) GO TO 25
YY=YX
*****
C 210 IF(NPER.EQ.0) GO TO 22
DO 21 I=1,NUMA
DELTA(I)=ABS(DDELTA(I)*A(I))
21 CONTINUE
22 LC=0
NSAVE=0
PRINT 5,NCOUN,NN,YY,(A(I),I=1,NUMA)
PRINT 220
220 FORMAT(21X*PATTERN MOVE*)
NCOUN=NCOUN+1
*****
C PATTERN MOVE ROUTINE
*****
C DO 24 I=1,NUMA
LES(I)=NSIGN(I)
BA(I)=A(I)
A(I)=2.0*A(I)-B(I)
*****
C CHECK UPPER AND LOWER CONSTRAINTS
*****
C CC=A(I)-1.01*DELTA(I)
CD=A(I)+1.01*DELTA(I)
IF(CC.GT.CHECKL(I)) GO TO 103
ICLOSEL(I)=1
A(I)=BA(I)
GO TO 104
103 ICLOSEL(I)=0
104 IF(CD.LT.CHECKH(I)) GO TO 105
ICLOSEH(I)=1
A(I)=BA(I)
GO TO 23
105 ICLOSEH(I)=0
23 B(I)=BA(I)
24 CONTINUE
GO TO 6000
*****
C 25 LC=LC+1
*****
C DESTROY PRESENT PATTERN
*****
C IF(LC-1)7000,26,28

```

PROGRAM LISTING--Continued

```

26 IF(NSAVE.EQ.1) GO TO 260
DO 27 I=1,NUMA
 A(I)=BA(I)
27 CONTINUE
 ICOUN=ICOUN+1
 GO TO 30
28 IF(LDELT.GE.KC) GO TO 7000
C ****
C HALVE DELTA(I) (RESOLUTION)
C ****
260 NSAVE=0
DO 29 I=1,NUMA
 DDELTA(I)=DDELTA(I)*0.5
 DELTA(I)=DELTA(I)*0.5
29 CONTINUE
 LDELT=LDELT+1
30 PRINT 31,ICOUN,LDELT
31 FORMAT(20X,*PATTERN=*I4* RESOLUTION=*I5)
 PRINT 5,NCOUN,NN,YY,(A(I),I=1,NUMA)
 GO TO 44
6000 RETURN
3000 PRINT 5000,I
5000 FORMAT(1X*THE INITIAL VALUE FOR A(*I2*)IS TOO CLOSE TO ITS CONSTRA-
INT CHECK ALL INITIAL VALUES, MAKE APPROPRIATE CORRECTIONS AND RE-
2START*)
 PRINT 3,(I,I=1,NUMA)
 PRINT 5,NCOUN,NN,YS,(A(I),I=1,NUMA)
7000 STOP
END

```

## APPENDIX C

### MINIMIZATION OF A FUNCTION

	Page
Introduction	25
Program listing	27
Input data listing	27
Computer output	28

## Introduction

Direct optimization techniques can, in many cases, find the minimum of a function that, by other means, would be mathematically intractable. This will be demonstrated by a simple example. Since this example can be solved by the indirect method, it will merely be a demonstration of the technique. The example also provides input/output data that may be used to check the accuracy of a duplication of the computer program SUBROUTINE OPT.

The modified pattern search method was applied to the test function devised by Rosenbrock<sup>(1)</sup>:

$$y = 100 \left[ A(2) - A(1)^2 \right]^2 + \left[ 1 - A(1)^2 \right]$$

Figure 3 shows the response surface. The response surface is analogous to a shallow-curved valley. The minimum is at  $A(1)=A(2)=1$ . The minimum may be found by the classical (indirect) method:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial y}{\partial A(1)} &= 200 \left[ A(2) - A^2(1) \right] \left[ -2A(1) \right] - 2 \left[ 1 - A(1) \right] = 0 \\ &\quad -200 A(2)A(1) + 200 A^3(1) + A(1) - 1 = 0 \\ \frac{\partial y}{\partial A(2)} &= 200 \left[ A(2) - A^2(1) \right] = 0 \\ A(2) &= A^2(1) \end{aligned}$$

---

(1) Rosenbrock, H.H., "An Automatic Method for Finding the Greatest or Least Value of a Function," Computer Journal, Vol. 3, No. 3, October, 1960, 175-184.

therefore

$$-200 A^3(1) + 200 A^3(1) + A(1) - 1 = 0$$

$$A(1) = 1$$

then

$$A(2) = 1^2 = 1$$

It should be of interest to investigate the efficiency of optimization with pattern search on such a complicated response surface. The initial value for  $A(1)$  is -1.20 and for  $A(2)$ , 1.00. Figure 4 shows the values of  $A(1)$  and  $A(2)$  after each pattern move.

Initially, the optimization procedure developed a pattern in a direction that could not lead to the optimum as shown in Figure 4. The pattern then reduces in size and reverses direction. We observe the continued growth of the pattern moves from  $A(1) = -1$ ,  $A(2) = 1$ , to the neighborhood of the origin. At this point the pattern is destroyed, and the technique then follows the response surface valley to the vicinity of the optimum. The computer output details the entire process. Optimization was arbitrarily aborted when the number of runs equaled 250.

Although the indirect method, for this case, is much more efficient than pattern search, pattern search is obviously superior to pure "trial and error." In an operational sense, the direct search technique would normally only be used when the indirect method cannot be applied.

## Program Listing

```
PROGRAM MAIN(INPUT,OUTPUT)
COMMON A(18),DDELTA(18),CHECKL(18),CHECKH(18)
COMMON OPTIM,NUMA,NSTART,NPER,KC,MAXN
READ 1,NUMA,NPER,KC,MAXN
READ 2,(A(I),I=1,NUMA)
READ 2,(DDELTA(I),I=1,NUMA)
READ 2,(CHECKL(I),I=1,NUMA)
READ 2,(CHECKH(I),I=1,NUMA)
NSTART=0
8000 Y=100.0*(A(2)-A(1)**2)**2+(1.0-A(1))**2
OPTIM=Y
CALL OPT
GO TO 8000
1 FORMAT(3I2,I5)
2 FORMAT(10F6.4)
END
```

## Input Data Listing

I	A(I)	DDELTA(I)	CHECKL(I)	CHECKH(I)
1	-1.20	0.01	-9.00	10.00
2	1.00	0.01	-9.00	10.00

NUMA = 2  
NPER = 0  
KC = 10  
MAXN = 250

# Computer Output

TRIAL	RUN	CRITERION	A( 1)	A( 2)	A( 3)	
			INITIAL VALUES OF THE COEFFICIENTS			
1	1	.242E+02	-1.200	1.000		
TRIAL	RUN	CRITERION	A( 1)	A( 2)	A( 3)	
1	2	.221E+02	-1.190	1.000		
1	3	.213E+02	-1.190	1.010		
1	3	.213E+02	-1.190	1.010		
		PATTERN MOVE				
2	4	.186E+02	-1.180	1.020		
TRIAL	RUN	CRITERION	A( 1)	A( 2)	A( 3)	
2	5	.169E+02	-1.170	1.020		
2	6	.162E+02	-1.170	1.030		
2	6	.162E+02	-1.170	1.030		
		PATTERN MOVE				
3	7	.120E+02	-1.150	1.050		
TRIAL	RUN	CRITERION	A( 1)	A( 2)	A( 3)	
3	8	.108E+02	-1.140	1.050		
3	9	.103E+02	-1.140	1.060		
3	9	.103E+02	-1.140	1.060		
		PATTERN MOVE				
4	10	.647E+01	-1.110	1.090		
TRIAL	RUN	CRITERION	A( 1)	A( 2)	A( 3)	
4	11	.585E+01	-1.100	1.090		
4	12	.562E+01	-1.100	1.100		
4	12	.562E+01	-1.100	1.100		
		PATTERN MOVE				
5	13	.427E+01	-1.060	1.140		
TRIAL	RUN	CRITERION	A( 1)	A( 2)	A( 3)	
5	14	.434E+01	-1.050	1.140		
5	15	.429E+01	-1.070	1.140		
5	16	.431E+01	-1.060	1.150		
5	17	.425E+01	-1.060	1.130		
5	17	.425E+01	-1.060	1.130		
		PATTERN MOVE				
6	18	.551E+01	-1.020	1.160		
TRIAL	RUN	CRITERION	A( 1)	A( 2)	A( 3)	
6	19	.600E+01	-1.010	1.160		
6	20	.510E+01	-1.030	1.160		
6	21	.528E+01	-1.020	1.150		
6	22	.576E+01	-1.020	1.170		
		PATTERN= 1 RESOLUTION= 0				
6	22	.425E+01	-1.060	1.130		
TRIAL	RUN	CRITERION	A( 1)	A( 2)	A( 3)	
6	23	.428E+01	-1.050	1.130		
6	24	.431E+01	-1.070	1.130		
6	25	.424E+01	-1.060	1.120		
6	25	.424E+01	-1.060	1.120		
		PATTERN MOVE				
7	26	.426E+01	-1.060	1.110		
TRIAL	RUN	CRITERION	A( 1)	A( 2)	A( 3)	
7	27	.421E+01	-1.050	1.110		
7	28	.420E+01	-1.050	1.100		
7	28	.420E+01	-1.050	1.100		
		PATTERN MOVE				
8	29	.416E+01	-1.040	1.080		
TRIAL	RUN	CRITERION	A( 1)	A( 2)	A( 3)	
8	30	.416E+01	-1.030	1.080		
8	31	.413E+01	-1.030	1.070		
8	31	.413E+01	-1.030	1.070		
		PATTERN MOVE				
9	32	.408E+01	-1.010	1.040		

TRIAL	RUN	CRITERION	A( 1)	A( 2)	A( 3)	
9	33	.416E+01	-1.000	1.040		
9	34	.408E+01	-1.020	1.040		
9	35	.405E+01	-1.010	1.030		
9	35	.405E+01	-1.010	1.030		
		PATTERN MOVE				
10	36	.397E+01	-.990	.990		
TRIAL	RUN	CRITERION	A( 1)	A( 2)	A( 3)	
10	37	.401E+01	-.980	.990		
10	38	.401E+01	-1.000	.990		
10	39	.396E+01	-.990	.980		
10	39	.396E+01	-.990	.980		
		PATTERN MOVE				
11	40	.389E+01	-.970	.930		
TRIAL	RUN	CRITERION	A( 1)	A( 2)	A( 3)	
11	41	.385E+01	-.960	.930		
11	42	.384E+01	-.960	.920		
11	42	.384E+01	-.960	.920		
		PATTERN MOVE				
12	43	.373E+01	-.930	.860		
TRIAL	RUN	CRITERION	A( 1)	A( 2)	A( 3)	
12	44	.370E+01	-.920	.860		
12	45	.369E+01	-.920	.850		
12	45	.369E+01	-.920	.850		
		PATTERN MOVE				
13	46	.354E+01	-.880	.780		
TRIAL	RUN	CRITERION	A( 1)	A( 2)	A( 3)	
13	47	.355E+01	-.870	.780		
13	48	.359E+01	-.890	.780		
13	49	.354E+01	-.880	.770		
13	49	.354E+01	-.880	.770		
		PATTERN MOVE				
14	50	.341E+01	-.840	.690		
TRIAL	RUN	CRITERION	A( 1)	A( 2)	A( 3)	
14	51	.335E+01	-.830	.690		
14	52	.336E+01	-.830	.680		
14	53	.336E+01	-.830	.700		
14	53	.335E+01	-.830	.690		
		PATTERN MOVE				
15	54	.317E+01	-.780	.610		
TRIAL	RUN	CRITERION	A( 1)	A( 2)	A( 3)	
15	55	.316E+01	-.770	.610		
15	56	.314E+01	-.770	.600		
15	56	.314E+01	-.770	.600		
		PATTERN MOVE				
16	57	.293E+01	-.710	.510		
TRIAL	RUN	CRITERION	A( 1)	A( 2)	A( 3)	
16	58	.293E+01	-.700	.510		
16	59	.297E+01	-.720	.510		
16	60	.293E+01	-.710	.500		
16	60	.293E+01	-.710	.500		
		PATTERN MOVE				
17	61	.277E+01	-.650	.400		
TRIAL	RUN	CRITERION	A( 1)	A( 2)	A( 3)	
17	62	.270E+01	-.640	.400		
17	63	.273E+01	-.640	.390		
17	64	.269E+01	-.640	.410		
17	64	.269E+01	-.640	.410		
		PATTERN MOVE				
18	65	.247E+01	-.570	.320		
TRIAL	RUN	CRITERION	A( 1)	A( 2)	A( 3)	
18	66	.244E+01	-.560	.320		
18	67	.246E+01	-.560	.330		
18	68	.243E+01	-.560	.310		
18	68	.243E+01	-.560	.310		
		PATTFRN MOVE				

Computer Output--Continued

19	69	.223E+01	-.480	.210		28	104	.740E+00	.140	.020	
TRIAL	RUN	CRITERION	A( 1)	A( 2)	A(	28	105	.749E+00	.140	.010	
19	70	.217E+01	-.470	.210		28	106	.750E+00	.140	.030	
19	71	.220E+01	-.470	.200		28	106	.740E+00	.140	.020	
19	72	.216E+01	-.470	.220				PATTERN	MOVE		
19	72	.216E+01	-.470	.220		29	107	.660E+00	.190	.030	
		PATTERN	MOVE		TRIAL	RUN	CRITERION	A( 1)	A( 2)	A(	
20	73	.193E+01	-.380	.130		29	108	.650E+00	.200	.030	
TRIAL	RUN	CRITERION	A( 1)	A( 2)	A(	29	109	.680E+00	.200	.020	
20	74	.188E+01	-.370	.130		29	110	.640E+00	.200	.040	
20	75	.188E+01	-.370	.140		29	110	.640E+00	.200	.040	
20	75	.188E+01	-.370	.140				PATTERN	MOVE		
		PATTERN	MOVE		30	111	.553E+00	.260	.060		
21	76	.163E+01	-.270	.060		TRIAL	RUN	CRITERION	A( 1)	A( 2)	A(
TRIAL	RUN	CRITERION	A( 1)	A( 2)	A(	30	112	.550E+00	.270	.060	
21	77	.159E+01	-.260	.060		30	113	.534E+00	.270	.070	
21	78	.159E+01	-.260	.070		30	113	.534E+00	.270	.070	
21	78	.159E+01	-.260	.070				PATTERN	MOVE		
		PATTERN	MOVE		31	114	.460E+00	.340	.100		
22	79	.137E+01	-.150	-.000		TRIAL	RUN	CRITERION	A( 1)	A( 2)	A(
TRIAL	RUN	CRITERION	A( 1)	A( 2)	A(	31	115	.473E+00	.350	.100	
22	80	.134E+01	-.140	-.000		31	116	.457E+00	.330	.100	
22	81	.131E+01	-.140	.010		31	117	.449E+00	.330	.110	
22	81	.131E+01	-.140	.010		31	117	.449E+00	.330	.110	
		PATTERN	MOVE					PATTERN	MOVE		
23	82	.129E+01	-.020	-.050		32	118	.373E+00	.390	.150	
TRIAL	RUN	CRITERION	A( 1)	A( 2)	A(	TRIAL	RUN	CRITERION	A( 1)	A( 2)	A(
23	83	.127E+01	-.010	-.050		32	119	.388E+00	.380	.150	
23	84	.118E+01	-.010	-.040		32	120	.370E+00	.400	.150	
23	84	.118E+01	-.010	-.040		32	121	.360E+00	.400	.160	
		PATTERN	MOVE		32	121	.360E+00	.400	.160		
24	85	.186E+01	.120	-.090					PATTERN	MOVE	
TRIAL	RUN	CRITERION	A( 1)	A( 2)	A(	33	122	.293E+00	.470	.210	
24	86	.190E+01	.130	-.090		TRIAL	RUN	CRITERION	A( 1)	A( 2)	A(
24	87	.183E+01	.110	-.090		33	123	.312E+00	.480	.210	
24	88	.167E+01	.120	-.080		33	124	.292E+00	.460	.210	
24	89	.208E+01	.120	-.100		33	125	.299E+00	.460	.220	
		PATTERN=	2	RESOLUTION=	0	33	126	.305E+00	.460	.200	
24	89	.118E+01	-.010	-.040		33	126	.292E+00	.460	.210	
TRIAL	RUN	CRITERION	A( 1)	A( 2)	A(				PATTERN	MOVE	
24	90	.116E+01	.000	-.040		34	127	.241E+00	.520	.260	
24	91	.109E+01	.000	-.030		TRIAL	RUN	CRITERION	A( 1)	A( 2)	A(
24	91	.109E+01	.000	-.030		34	128	.240E+00	.510	.260	
		PATTERN	MOVE		34	129	.250E+00	.510	.270		
25	92	.102E+01	.010	-.020		34	130	.250E+00	.510	.250	
TRIAL	RUN	CRITERION	A( 1)	A( 2)	A(	34	130	.240E+00	.510	.260	
25	93	.100E+01	.020	-.020					PATTERN	MOVE	
25	94	.971E+00	.020	-.010		35	131	.195E+00	.560	.310	
25	94	.971E+00	.020	-.010		TRIAL	RUN	CRITERION	A( 1)	A( 2)	A(
		PATTERN	MOVE		35	132	.208E+00	.550	.310		
26	95	.929E+00	.040	.010		35	133	.207E+00	.570	.310	
TRIAL	RUN	CRITERION	A( 1)	A( 2)	A(	35	134	.198E+00	.560	.320	
26	96	.908E+00	.050	.010		35	135	.212E+00	.560	.300	
26	97	.933E+00	.050	.020					PATTERN=	2	RESOLUTION=
26	98	.903E+00	.050	-.000		35	135	.195E+00	.560	.310	
26	98	.903E+00	.050	-.000		TRIAL	RUN	CRITERION	A( 1)	A( 2)	A(
		PATTERN	MOVE		35	136	.198E+00	.555	.310		
27	99	.848E+00	.080	.010		35	137	.198E+00	.565	.310	
TRIAL	RUN	CRITERION	A( 1)	A( 2)	A(	35	138	.194E+00	.560	.315	
27	100	.828E+00	.090	.010					PATTERN	MOVE	
27	101	.835E+00	.090	-.000		36	139	.153E+00	.610	.370	
27	102	.842E+00	.090	.020		TRIAL	RUN	CRITERION	A( 1)	A( 2)	A(
27	102	.828E+00	.090	.010		36	140	.158E+00	.605	.370	
		PATTERN	MOVE		36	141	.155E+00	.615	.370		
28	103	.758E+00	.130	.020		36	142	.153E+00	.610	.375	
TRIAL	RUN	CRITERION	A( 1)	A( 2)	A(						

## Computer Output--Continued

36	143	.157E+00	.610	.365		44	179	.417E-02	.944	.887	
		PATTERN=	2	RESOLUTION=	2	44	180	.333E-02	.943	.889	
36	143	.153E+00	.610	.370		44	180	.333E-02	.943	.889	
TRIAL	RUN CRITERION A( 1) A( 2) A(					45	181	.124E-02	.980	.957	
36	144	.154E+00	.608	.370		TRIAL	RUN CRITERION A( 1) A( 2) A(				
36	145	.153E+00	.613	.370		45	182	.472E-03	.979	.957	
36	146	.152E+00	.610	.372		45	183	.515E-03	.979	.959	
36	146	.152E+00	.610	.372		45	184	.741E-03	.979	.956	
		PATTERN MOVE				45	184	.472E-03	.979	.957	
37	147	.119E+00	.660	.430			46	185	.181E-02	1.015	1.026
TRIAL	RUN CRITERION A( 1) A( 2) A(					TRIAL	RUN CRITERION A( 1) A( 2) A(				
37	148	.118E+00	.658	.430		46	186	.396E-03	1.014	1.026	
37	149	.117E+00	.658	.432		46	187	.193E-03	1.014	1.027	
37	149	.117E+00	.658	.432		46	187	.193E-03	1.014	1.027	
		PATTERN MOVE									
38	150	.891E-01	.705	.492		47	188	.294E-02	1.049	1.097	
TRIAL	RUN CRITERION A( 1) A( 2) A(					TRIAL	RUN CRITERION A( 1) A( 2) A(				
38	151	.886E-01	.703	.492		47	189	.226E-02	1.048	1.097	
38	152	.887E-01	.703	.495		47	190	.500E-02	1.050	1.097	
38	153	.897E-01	.703	.490		47	191	.250E-02	1.049	1.099	
38	153	.886E-01	.703	.492		47	192	.369E-02	1.049	1.096	
		PATTERN MOVE									
39	154	.677E-01	.748	.552		47	192	.193E-03	1.014	1.027	
TRIAL	RUN CRITERION A( 1) A( 2) A(					TRIAL	RUN CRITERION A( 1) A( 2) A(				
39	155	.657E-01	.745	.552		47	193	.706E-03	1.013	1.027	
39	156	.650E-01	.745	.555		47	194	.968E-03	1.015	1.027	
39	156	.650E-01	.745	.555		47	195	.302E-03	1.014	1.029	
		PATTERN MOVE				47	196	.396E-03	1.014	1.026	
40	157	.459E-01	.788	.617			47	196	.193E-03	1.014	1.027
TRIAL	RUN CRITERION A( 1) A( 2) A(					TRIAL	RUN CRITERION A( 1) A( 2) A(				
40	158	.464E-01	.785	.617		47	197	.288E-03	1.013	1.027	
40	159	.485E-01	.790	.617		47	198	.419E-03	1.014	1.027	
40	160	.452E-01	.788	.620		47	199	.208E-03	1.014	1.028	
40	160	.452E-01	.788	.620		47	200	.255E-03	1.014	1.027	
		PATTERN MOVE									
41	161	.304E-01	.830	.685		47	200	.193E-03	1.014	1.027	
TRIAL	RUN CRITERION A( 1) A( 2) A(					TRIAL	RUN CRITERION A( 1) A( 2) A(				
41	162	.298E-01	.828	.685		47	201	.200E-03	1.013	1.027	
41	163	.305E-01	.828	.687		47	202	.265E-03	1.014	1.027	
41	164	.303E-01	.828	.682		47	203	.191E-03	1.014	1.028	
41	164	.298E-01	.828	.685		47	203	.191E-03	1.014	1.028	
		PATTERN MOVE									
42	165	.182E-01	.868	.750		48	204	.208E-03	1.014	1.028	
TRIAL	RUN CRITERION A( 1) A( 2) A(					TRIAL	RUN CRITERION A( 1) A( 2) A(				
42	166	.185E-01	.865	.750		48	205	.295E-03	1.013	1.028	
42	167	.217E-01	.870	.750		48	206	.202E-03	1.014	1.028	
42	168	.176E-01	.868	.752		48	207	.245E-03	1.014	1.028	
42	168	.176E-01	.868	.752		48	208	.191E-03	1.014	1.028	
		PATTERN MOVE									
43	169	.982E-02	.908	.820		48	208	.191E-03	1.014	1.028	
TRIAL	RUN CRITERION A( 1) A( 2) A(					TRIAL	RUN CRITERION A( 1) A( 2) A(				
43	170	.912E-02	.905	.820		48	209	.238E-03	1.013	1.028	
43	171	.102E-01	.905	.822		48	210	.224E-03	1.014	1.028	
43	172	.926E-02	.905	.817		48	211	.208E-03	1.014	1.028	
43	172	.912E-02	.905	.820		48	212	.193E-03	1.014	1.027	
		PATTERN MOVE									
44	173	.337E-02	.943	.887		48	212	.191E-03	1.014	1.028	
TRIAL	RUN CRITERION A( 1) A( 2) A(					TRIAL	RUN CRITERION A( 1) A( 2) A(				
44	174	.512E-02	.940	.887		48	213	.204E-03	1.014	1.028	
44	175	.608E-02	.945	.887		48	214	.197E-03	1.014	1.028	
44	176	.359E-02	.943	.890		48	215	.197E-03	1.014	1.028	
44	177	.440E-02	.943	.885		48	216	.189E-03	1.014	1.028	
		PATTERN=	2	RESOLUTION=	3	48	216	.189F-03	1.014	1.028	
44	177	.337E-02	.943	.887							
TRIAL	RUN CRITERION A( 1) A( 2) A(										
44	178	.369F-02	.941	.887							

Computer Output--Continued

```

        PATTERN MOVE
49 217 .193E-03 1.014 1.027
TRIAL RUN CRITERION A( 1) A( 2) A(
49 218 .186E-03 1.014 1.027
49 219 .185E-03 1.014 1.027
49 219 .185E-03 1.014 1.027
        PATTERN MOVE
50 220 .181E-03 1.013 1.027
TRIAL RUN CRITERION A( 1) A( 2) A(
50 221 .185E-03 1.013 1.027
50 222 .186E-03 1.014 1.027
50 223 .184E-03 1.013 1.027
50 224 .182E-03 1.013 1.027
        PATTERN= 4   RESOLUTION= 7
50 224 .181E-03 1.013 1.027
TRIAL RUN CRITERION A( 1) A( 2) A(
50 225 .180E-03 1.013 1.027
50 226 .179E-03 1.013 1.027
50 226 .179E-03 1.013 1.027
        PATTERN MOVE
51 227 .174E-03 1.013 1.027
TRIAL RUN CRITERION A( 1) A( 2) A(
51 228 .179E-03 1.013 1.027
51 229 .174E-03 1.013 1.027
51 230 .173E-03 1.013 1.026
51 230 .173E-03 1.013 1.026
        PATTERN MOVE
52 231 .167E-03 1.013 1.026
TRIAL RUN CRITERION A( 1) A( 2) A(
52 232 .169E-03 1.013 1.026
52 233 .169E-03 1.013 1.026
52 234 .166E-03 1.013 1.026
52 234 .166E-03 1.013 1.026
        PATTERN MOVE
53 235 .161E-03 1.013 1.025
TRIAL RUN CRITERION A( 1) A( 2) A(
53 236 .159E-03 1.013 1.025
53 237 .158E-03 1.013 1.025
53 237 .158E-03 1.013 1.025
        PATTERN MOVE
54 238 .150E-03 1.012 1.025
TRIAL RUN CRITERION A( 1) A( 2) A(
54 239 .151E-03 1.012 1.025
54 240 .155E-03 1.012 1.025
54 241 .151E-03 1.012 1.025
54 242 .151E-03 1.012 1.025
        PATTERN= 4   RESOLUTION= 8
54 242 .150E-03 1.012 1.025
TRIAL RUN CRITERION A( 1) A( 2) A(
54 243 .150E-03 1.012 1.025
54 244 .150E-03 1.012 1.025
54 244 .150E-03 1.012 1.025
        PATTERN MOVE
55 245 .142E-03 1.012 1.024
TRIAL RUN CRITERION A( 1) A( 2) A(
55 246 .143E-03 1.012 1.024
55 247 .142E-03 1.012 1.024
55 248 .141E-03 1.012 1.024
55 248 .141E-03 1.012 1.024
        PATTERN MOVE
56 249 .133E-03 1.012 1.023
TRIAL RUN CRITERION A( 1) A( 2) A(
56 250 .134E-03 1.011 1.023

```




Figure 3.--Response surface resulting from application of the Pattern Search method to the test function of Rosenbrock (1960).




Figure 4.--Successive values of  $A(1)$  and  $A(2)$  after each Pattern Search move, for the test function of Rosenbrock (1960).

## APPENDIX D

### LEAST-SQUARES FITTING OF A NONLINEAR REGRESSION FUNCTION

	Page
Introduction	35
Program listing	37
Input data listing	37
Computer output	38

## Introduction

Statistical models play important roles in the fields of hydrology and meteorology. This appendix will not treat the mathematics involved, but will describe least-squares curve fitting which is often an important technique in regression and correlation studies.

As an example of a least-squares curve fitting, consider the following functional form that has been used in certain meteorological studies.

$$y_i = A \cdot e^{-B \cdot X_i^C} \cdot \cos(D \cdot X_i)$$

where

$y_i$  : smoothed spatial correlation function between the 200 mb. Caribbean zonal wind and the distance between observation stations during the summer months

$X_i$  : distance, in kilometers, between observation stations.

A,B,C,D : parameters of the function.

N : number of data points.

The evaluation criterion will be the sum of the squares of the difference between observed ( $Y_i$ ) and predicted ( $y_i$ ) values of the dependent variable;  $\sum_1^N (Y_i - y_i)^2$ .

The corresponding quantities in the "Main Program" are:

$A(1) = A$

$A(2) = B$

$A(3) = C$

$A(4) = D$

$Y_i = Y(1)$

$X_i = X(1)$

The least-squares analysis, by pattern search, produced what appears to be a good fit, Figure 5. Although it appears that we could have done better, the goodness of fit is limited to the adequacy of the specified equational form. The computer output listing details the entire optimization. Least-squares, by the indirect approach of generating the normal equations, would prove to be mathematically intractable and thus, in this case, pattern search proved to be a useful approach. Optimization was aborted when the number of runs reached 300. At this point, the evaluation criterion was improving only slightly.

We checked to see if a simpler equation could fit the data as well or better than the transcendental function studied. By the indirect method, we fit the following 3rd degree polynomial and it produced slightly better results:

$$\sum (Y_i - y_i)^2 = 7.15 \times 10^{-2}$$
$$Y_i = 1.0 + 1.177X_i + 0.297X_i^2 + 0.024X_i^3$$

## Program Listing

```

PROGRAM MAIN(INPUT,OUTPUT)
COMMON A(18),DDELTA(18),CHECKL(18),CHECKH(18)
COMMON OPTIM,NUMA,NSTART,NPER,KC,MAXN
DIMENSION Y(50),X(50)
READ 1,NUMA,NPER,KC,MAXN
READ 2,(A(I),I=1,NUMA)
READ 2,(DDELTA(I),I=1,NUMA)
READ 2,(CHECKL(I),I=1,NUMA)
READ 2,(CHECKH(I),I=1,NUMA)
READ 3,M
READ 4,(Y(I),X(I),I=1,M)
NSTART=0
8000 SUM=0.0
DO 5 I=1,M
F=(A(1)*EXP(-A(2)*X(I)**A(3)))*COS(A(4)*X(I))
SDIF=(F-Y(I))**2
SUM=SUM+SDIF
5 CONTINUE
OPTIM=SUM
CALL OPT
GO TO 8000
1 FORMAT(3I2,I5)
2 FORMAT(10F6.4)
3 FORMAT(I3)
4 FORMAT(2F10.5)
END

```

## Input Data Listing

I	A(I)	DDELTA(I)	CHECKL(I)	CHECKH(I)
1	1.0195	0.01	0.98	1.04
2	1.6391	0.01	-1.00	5.00
3	2.4531	0.01	-1.00	5.00
4	2.4063	0.01	-1.00	5.00

NUMA = 4  
 NPER = 0  
 KC = 10  
 MAXN = 300

I	Y(I)	X(I)	I	Y(I)	X(I)
1	0.760	0.250	10	-0.021	1.150
2	0.581	0.350	11	-0.052	1.250
3	0.434	0.450	12	0.105	1.350
4	0.451	0.550	13	-0.040	1.450
5	0.507	0.650	14	0.021	1.550
6	0.273	0.750	15	-0.023	1.650
7	0.308	0.850	16	-0.020	1.750
8	0.131	0.950	17	0.008	1.850
9	0.125	1.050	18	-0.022	1.950

## Computer Output

TRIAL	PUN	CRITERION	A( 1 )	A( 2 )	A( 3 )	A( 4 )	A(	INITIAL VALUES OF THE COEFFICIENTS	PATTERN	MOVE	
1	1	.870E+00	1.020	1.639	2.453	2.406			8	.473E+00	.989 1.989 2.643 2.056
TRIAL	PUN	CRITERION	A( 1 )	A( 2 )	A( 3 )	A( 4 )	A(		9	.411E+00	.989 2.079 2.713 1.966
1	2	.873E+00	1.029	1.639	2.453	2.406			9	.413E+00	.999 2.079 2.713 1.966
1	3	.866E+00	1.009	1.639	2.453	2.406			9	.411E+00	.989 2.089 2.713 1.966
1	4	.864E+00	1.009	1.649	2.453	2.406			9	.411E+00	.989 2.089 2.723 1.966
1	5	.864E+00	1.009	1.649	2.463	2.406			9	.405E+00	.989 2.089 2.723 1.956
1	6	.863E+00	1.009	1.649	2.443	2.406			9	.405E+00	.989 2.089 2.723 1.956
1	7	.876E+00	1.009	1.649	2.443	2.416			9	.405E+00	.989 2.089 2.723 1.956
1	8	.851E+00	1.009	1.649	2.443	2.396			9	.405E+00	.989 2.089 2.723 1.956
1	8	.851E+00	1.009	1.649	2.443	2.396			10	.357E+00	.989 2.179 2.793 1.866
TRIAL	PUN	CRITERION	A( 1 )	A( 2 )	A( 3 )	A( 4 )	A(		10	.359E+00	.999 2.179 2.793 1.866
2	9	.834E+00	.999	1.659	2.433	2.386			10	.357E+00	.989 2.189 2.793 1.866
TRIAL	PUN	CRITERION	A( 1 )	A( 2 )	A( 3 )	A( 4 )	A(		10	.357E+00	.989 2.169 2.793 1.866
2	10	.831E+00	.989	1.659	2.433	2.386			10	.357E+00	.989 2.169 2.803 1.856
2	11	.828E+00	.989	1.669	2.433	2.386			10	.352E+00	.989 2.169 2.803 1.856
2	12	.828E+00	.989	1.669	2.423	2.386			10	.352E+00	.989 2.169 2.803 1.856
2	13	.828E+00	.989	1.669	2.443	2.386			10	.352E+00	.989 2.169 2.803 1.856
2	14	.817E+00	.989	1.669	2.443	2.376			10	.352E+00	.989 2.169 2.803 1.856
2	14	.817E+00	.989	1.669	2.443	2.376			11	.311E+00	.989 2.249 2.883 1.756
TRIAL	PUN	CRITERION	A( 1 )	A( 2 )	A( 3 )	A( 4 )	A(		11	.315E+00	.999 2.249 2.883 1.756
3	15	.789E+00	.989	1.689	2.443	2.356			11	.311E+00	.989 2.239 2.883 1.756
TRIAL	PUN	CRITERION	A( 1 )	A( 2 )	A( 3 )	A( 4 )	A(		11	.311E+00	.989 2.239 2.893 1.756
3	16	.791E+00	.999	1.689	2.443	2.356			11	.307E+00	.989 2.239 2.893 1.746
3	17	.786E+00	.989	1.699	2.443	2.356			11	.307E+00	.989 2.239 2.893 1.746
3	18	.786E+00	.989	1.699	2.453	2.356			11	.307E+00	.989 2.239 2.893 1.746
3	19	.775E+00	.989	1.699	2.453	2.346			11	.307E+00	.989 2.239 2.893 1.746
3	19	.775E+00	.989	1.699	2.453	2.346			12	.277E+00	.989 2.309 2.983 1.636
TRIAL	PUN	CRITERION	A( 1 )	A( 2 )	A( 3 )	A( 4 )	A(		12	.282E+00	.999 2.309 2.983 1.636
4	20	.735E+00	.989	1.729	2.463	2.316			12	.277E+00	.989 2.299 2.983 1.636
TRIAL	PUN	CRITERION	A( 1 )	A( 2 )	A( 3 )	A( 4 )	A(		12	.277E+00	.989 2.299 2.993 1.636
4	21	.737E+00	.999	1.729	2.463	2.316			12	.277E+00	.989 2.299 2.993 1.636
4	22	.733E+00	.989	1.739	2.463	2.316			12	.277E+00	.989 2.299 2.993 1.636
4	23	.733F+00	.989	1.739	2.473	2.316			12	.277E+00	.989 2.299 2.973 1.636
4	24	.723E+00	.989	1.739	2.473	2.306			12	.274E+00	.989 2.299 2.973 1.626
4	24	.723F+00	.989	1.739	2.473	2.306			12	.274E+00	.989 2.299 2.973 1.626
4	24	.723F+00	.989	1.739	2.473	2.306			13	.255E+00	.989 2.359 3.053 1.506
TRIAL	PUN	CRITERION	A( 1 )	A( 2 )	A( 3 )	A( 4 )	A(		13	.261E+00	.999 2.359 3.053 1.506
5	25	.674E+00	.989	1.779	2.493	2.266			13	.255E+00	.989 2.349 3.053 1.506
TRIAL	PUN	CRITERION	A( 1 )	A( 2 )	A( 3 )	A( 4 )	A(		13	.255E+00	.989 2.349 3.043 1.506
5	26	.676E+00	.999	1.779	2.493	2.266			13	.253E+00	.989 2.349 3.043 1.496
5	27	.673E+00	.989	1.789	2.493	2.266			13	.253E+00	.989 2.349 3.043 1.496
5	28	.672E+00	.989	1.789	2.503	2.266			13	.252E+00	.989 2.349 3.043 1.496
5	29	.662E+00	.989	1.789	2.503	2.256			13	.252E+00	.989 2.349 3.043 1.496
5	29	.662E+00	.989	1.789	2.503	2.256			13	.253E+00	.989 2.349 3.043 1.496
5	29	.662E+00	.989	1.789	2.503	2.256			14	.247E+00	.989 2.399 3.113 1.366
TRIAL	PUN	CRITERION	A( 1 )	A( 2 )	A( 3 )	A( 4 )	A(		14	.255E+00	.989 2.399 3.113 1.366
6	30	.608E+00	.989	1.839	2.533	2.206			14	.247E+00	.989 2.399 3.113 1.366
TRIAL	PUN	CRITERION	A( 1 )	A( 2 )	A( 3 )	A( 4 )	A(		14	.255E+00	.989 2.399 3.113 1.366
6	31	.609E+00	.999	1.839	2.533	2.206			14	.247E+00	.989 2.399 3.113 1.366
6	32	.607E+00	.989	1.849	2.533	2.206			14	.246E+00	.989 2.389 3.103 1.366
6	33	.606E+00	.989	1.849	2.543	2.206			14	.246E+00	.989 2.389 3.103 1.356
6	34	.597E+00	.989	1.849	2.543	2.196			14	.246E+00	.989 2.389 3.103 1.356
6	34	.597E+00	.989	1.849	2.543	2.196			14	.246E+00	.989 2.389 3.103 1.356
6	34	.597E+00	.989	1.849	2.543	2.196			14	.246E+00	.989 2.389 3.103 1.356
7	35	.540F+00	.989	1.909	2.583	2.136			15	.252E+00	.989 2.429 3.163 1.216
TRIAL	PUN	CRITERION	A( 1 )	A( 2 )	A( 3 )	A( 4 )	A(		15	.252E+00	.989 2.429 3.163 1.216
7	36	.541F+00	.999	1.909	2.583	2.136			15	.262E+00	.999 2.429 3.163 1.216
7	37	.539E+00	.989	1.919	2.583	2.136			15	.252E+00	.989 2.419 3.163 1.216
7	38	.539E+00	.989	1.919	2.593	2.136			15	.252E+00	.989 2.419 3.163 1.216
7	39	.531E+00	.989	1.919	2.593	2.126			15	.251E+00	.989 2.429 3.153 1.216
7	39	.531E+00	.989	1.919	2.593	2.126			15	.253E+00	.989 2.429 3.173 1.216

## Computer Output--Continued

15	83	.253E+00	.989 2.429	3.163 1.206		22	125	.212E+00	.989 2.029	2.743 1.236	
15	84	.252E+00	.989 2.429	3.163 1.226	PATTERN= 1	22	126	.212E+00	.989 2.029	2.743 1.256	
					RESOLUTION= 0	22	126	.212E+00	.989 2.029	2.743 1.246	
15	84	.246E+00	.989 2.389	3.103 1.356		23	127	.204E+00	.989 1.949	2.663 1.236	
TRIAL	RUN CRITERION A( 1) A( 2) A( 3) A( 4) A(				PATTERN MOVE	TRIAL	RUN CRITERION A( 1) A( 2) A( 3) A( 4) A(				
15	85	.254E+00	.999 2.389	3.103 1.356		23	128	.212E+00	.989 1.949	2.663 1.236	
15	86	.246E+00	.989 2.379	3.103 1.356		23	129	.204E+00	.989 1.939	2.663 1.236	
15	87	.245E+00	.989 2.379	3.093 1.356		23	130	.203E+00	.989 1.939	2.653 1.236	
15	88	.245E+00	.989 2.379	3.093 1.346		23	131	.203E+00	.989 1.939	2.653 1.226	
15	88	.245E+00	.989 2.379	3.093 1.346	PATTERN MOVE	23	132	.203E+00	.989 1.939	2.653 1.246	
16	89	.244E+00	.989 2.369	3.083 1.336		23	132	.203E+00	.989 1.939	2.653 1.236	
TRIAL	RUN CRITERION A( 1) A( 2) A( 3) A( 4) A(				PATTERN MOVE	TRIAL	RUN CRITERION A( 1) A( 2) A( 3) A( 4) A(				
16	90	.252E+00	.999 2.369	3.083 1.336		24	133	.194E+00	.989 1.849	2.563 1.226	
16	91	.243E+00	.989 2.359	3.083 1.336		TRIAL	RUN CRITERION A( 1) A( 2) A( 3) A( 4) A(				
16	92	.243E+00	.989 2.359	3.073 1.336	PATTERN MOVE	24	134	.203E+00	.999 1.849	2.563 1.226	
16	93	.243E+00	.989 2.359	3.073 1.326		24	135	.194E+00	.989 1.839	2.563 1.226	
16	93	.243E+00	.989 2.359	3.073 1.326		24	136	.194E+00	.989 1.859	2.563 1.226	
					PATTERN MOVE	24	137	.193E+00	.989 1.859	2.553 1.226	
17	94	.241E+00	.989 2.339	3.053 1.306		24	138	.193E+00	.989 1.859	2.553 1.216	
TRIAL	RUN CRITERION A( 1) A( 2) A( 3) A( 4) A(					24	139	.193E+00	.989 1.859	2.553 1.236	
17	95	.249E+00	.999 2.339	3.053 1.306	PATTERN MOVE	24	139	.193E+00	.989 1.859	2.553 1.226	
17	96	.240E+00	.989 2.329	3.053 1.306		25	140	.184E+00	.989 1.779	2.453 1.216	
17	97	.240E+00	.989 2.329	3.043 1.306		TRIAL	RUN CRITERION A( 1) A( 2) A( 3) A( 4) A(				
17	98	.240E+00	.989 2.329	3.043 1.296	PATTERN MOVE	25	141	.192E+00	.999 1.779	2.453 1.216	
17	98	.240E+00	.989 2.329	3.043 1.296		25	142	.184E+00	.989 1.789	2.453 1.216	
						25	143	.183E+00	.989 1.789	2.443 1.216	
18	99	.237E+00	.989 2.299	3.013 1.266	PATTERN MOVE	25	144	.183E+00	.989 1.789	2.443 1.206	
TRIAL	RUN CRITERION A( 1) A( 2) A( 3) A( 4) A(					25	145	.183E+00	.989 1.789	2.443 1.226	
18	100	.246E+00	.999 2.299	3.013 1.266	PATTERN MOVE	25	145	.183E+00	.989 1.789	2.443 1.216	
18	101	.237E+00	.989 2.289	3.013 1.266		26	146	.172E+00	.989 1.719	2.333 1.206	
18	102	.236E+00	.989 2.289	3.003 1.266		TRIAL	RUN CRITERION A( 1) A( 2) A( 3) A( 4) A(				
18	103	.236E+00	.989 2.289	3.003 1.256	PATTERN MOVE	26	147	.179E+00	.999 1.719	2.333 1.206	
18	104	.236E+00	.989 2.289	3.003 1.276		26	148	.172E+00	.989 1.729	2.333 1.206	
18	104	.236E+00	.989 2.289	3.003 1.276	PATTERN MOVE	26	149	.171E+00	.989 1.729	2.323 1.206	
						26	150	.171E+00	.989 1.729	2.323 1.196	
19	105	.232E+00	.989 2.249	2.963 1.256	PATTERN MOVE	26	150	.171E+00	.989 1.729	2.323 1.196	
TRIAL	RUN CRITERION A( 1) A( 2) A( 3) A( 4) A(				PATTERN MOVE	27	151	.158E+00	.989 1.669	2.203 1.176	
19	106	.241E+00	.999 2.249	2.963 1.256		TRIAL	RUN CRITERION A( 1) A( 2) A( 3) A( 4) A(				
19	107	.232E+00	.989 2.239	2.963 1.256	PATTERN MOVE	27	152	.165E+00	.999 1.669	2.203 1.176	
19	108	.232E+00	.989 2.239	2.953 1.256		27	153	.158E+00	.989 1.679	2.203 1.176	
19	109	.231E+00	.989 2.239	2.953 1.266	PATTERN MOVE	27	154	.157E+00	.989 1.679	2.193 1.176	
19	109	.231E+00	.989 2.239	2.953 1.266		27	155	.157E+00	.989 1.679	2.193 1.166	
					PATTERN MOVE	27	155	.157E+00	.989 1.679	2.193 1.166	
20	110	.227E+00	.989 2.189	2.903 1.256	PATTERN MOVE	28	156	.143E+00	.989 1.629	2.063 1.136	
TRIAL	RUN CRITERION A( 1) A( 2) A( 3) A( 4) A(					TRIAL	RUN CRITERION A( 1) A( 2) A( 3) A( 4) A(				
20	111	.236E+00	.999 2.189	2.903 1.256		28	157	.149E+00	.999 1.629	2.063 1.136	
20	112	.227E+00	.989 2.179	2.903 1.256	PATTERN MOVE	28	158	.143E+00	.989 1.639	2.063 1.136	
20	113	.226E+00	.989 2.179	2.893 1.256		28	159	.142E+00	.989 1.639	2.053 1.136	
20	114	.226E+00	.989 2.179	2.893 1.266	PATTERN MOVE	28	160	.142E+00	.989 1.639	2.053 1.126	
20	114	.226E+00	.989 2.179	2.893 1.266			28	160	.142E+00	.989 1.639	2.053 1.126
					PATTERN MOVE	29	161	.127E+00	.989 1.599	1.913 1.086	
21	115	.220E+00	.989 2.119	2.833 1.266	PATTERN MOVE	TRIAL	RUN CRITERION A( 1) A( 2) A( 3) A( 4) A(				
TRIAL	RUN CRITERION A( 1) A( 2) A( 3) A( 4) A(					29	162	.132E+00	.999 1.599	1.913 1.086	
21	116	.229E+00	.999 2.119	2.833 1.266		29	163	.127E+00	.989 1.609	1.913 1.086	
21	117	.220E+00	.989 2.109	2.833 1.266	PATTERN MOVE	29	164	.126E+00	.989 1.609	1.903 1.086	
21	118	.219E+00	.989 2.109	2.823 1.266		29	165	.126E+00	.989 1.609	1.903 1.076	
21	119	.219E+00	.989 2.109	2.823 1.276	PATTERN MOVE	29	165	.126E+00	.989 1.609	1.903 1.076	
21	120	.219E+00	.989 2.109	2.823 1.256			29	166	.110E+00	.989 1.579	1.753 1.026
21	120	.219E+00	.989 2.109	2.823 1.256	PATTERN MOVE	TRIAL	RUN CRITERION A( 1) A( 2) A( 3) A( 4) A(				
						29	166	.110E+00	.989 1.579	1.753 1.026	

### Computer Output--Continued

30	167	.115E+00	.999	1.579	1.753	1.026	36	212	.809E-01	.999	1.469	1.233	.926
30	168	.110E+00	.989	1.589	1.753	1.026	36	213	.809E-01	.999	1.469	1.223	.926
30	169	.109E+00	.989	1.589	1.743	1.026	36	214	.809E-01	.999	1.469	1.243	.926
30	170	.109E+00	.989	1.589	1.743	1.016	36	215	.807E-01	.999	1.469	1.233	.936
30	171	.109E+00	.989	1.589	1.743	1.036	36	215	.807E-01	.999	1.469	1.233	.936
30	171	.109E+00	.989	1.589	1.743	1.026						PATTERN MOVE	
		PATTERN MOVE					37	216	.798E-01	.999	1.439	1.233	.966
TRIAL	RUN	CRITERION A( 1) A( 2) A( 3) A( 4) A(					37	217	.801E-01	1.009	1.439	1.233	.966
31	172	.947E-01	.989	1.569	1.583	.976	37	218	.796E-01	.999	1.429	1.233	.966
31	173	.975E-01	.999	1.569	1.583	.976	37	219	.795E-01	.999	1.429	1.223	.966
31	174	.946E-01	.989	1.579	1.583	.976	37	220	.795E-01	.999	1.429	1.223	.976
31	175	.938E-01	.989	1.579	1.573	.976	37	220	.795E-01	.999	1.429	1.223	.976
31	176	.938E-01	.989	1.579	1.573	.966						PATTERN MOVE	
		PATTERN MOVE					38	221	.785E-01	.999	1.389	1.213	1.016
TRIAL	RUN	CRITERION A( 1) A( 2) A( 3) A( 4) A(					38	222	.786E-01	.989	1.389	1.213	1.016
32	177	.843E-01	.989	1.569	1.403	.906	38	223	.787E-01	1.009	1.389	1.213	1.016
32	178	.855E-01	.999	1.569	1.403	.906	38	224	.783E-01	.999	1.379	1.213	1.016
32	179	.844E-01	.989	1.579	1.403	.906	38	225	.782E-01	.999	1.379	1.203	1.016
32	180	.843E-01	.989	1.559	1.403	.906	38	226	.783E-01	.999	1.379	1.203	1.026
32	181	.839E-01	.989	1.559	1.393	.906	38	227	.782E-01	.999	1.379	1.203	1.006
32	182	.840E-01	.989	1.559	1.393	.896	38	227	.782E-01	.999	1.379	1.203	1.006
32	183	.839E-01	.989	1.559	1.393	.916						PATTERN MOVE	
		PATTERN MOVE					39	228	.773E-01	.999	1.329	1.183	1.036
TRIAL	RUN	CRITERION A( 1) A( 2) A( 3) A( 4) A(					39	229	.772E-01	.989	1.329	1.183	1.036
33	184	.844E-01	.989	1.539	1.213	.866	39	230	.770E-01	.989	1.319	1.183	1.036
33	185	.838E-01	.999	1.539	1.213	.866	39	231	.770E-01	.989	1.319	1.173	1.036
33	186	.835E-01	.999	1.529	1.213	.866	39	232	.770E-01	.989	1.319	1.193	1.036
33	187	.838E-01	.999	1.529	1.203	.866	39	233	.770E-01	.989	1.319	1.183	1.026
33	188	.833E-01	.999	1.529	1.223	.866	39	234	.771E-01	.989	1.319	1.183	1.046
33	189	.831E-01	.999	1.529	1.223	.876	39	234	.770E-01	.989	1.319	1.183	1.036
33	190	.831E-01	.999	1.529	1.223	.876						PATTERN MOVE	
		PATTERN MOVE					40	235	.764E-01	.989	1.259	1.163	1.066
TRIAL	RUN	CRITERION A( 1) A( 2) A( 3) A( 4) A(					40	236	.768E-01	.999	1.259	1.163	1.066
34	191	.909E-01	1.009	1.499	1.053	.836	40	237	.763E-01	.989	1.249	1.163	1.066
34	192	.939E-01	.999	1.499	1.053	.836	40	238	.763E-01	.989	1.249	1.153	1.066
34	193	.918E-01	1.009	1.489	1.053	.836	40	239	.762E-01	.989	1.249	1.153	1.056
34	194	.927E-01	1.009	1.509	1.053	.836	40	239	.762E-01	.989	1.249	1.153	1.056
34	195	.913E-01	1.009	1.499	1.063	.836	40	239	.762E-01	.989	1.249	1.153	1.056
34	196	.933E-01	1.009	1.499	1.043	.836						PATTERN MOVE	
34	197	.918E-01	1.009	1.499	1.053	.846	41	240	.769E-01	.989	1.179	1.123	1.076
34	198	.927E-01	1.009	1.499	1.053	.826	41	241	.778E-01	.999	1.179	1.123	1.076
		PATTERN= 2 RESOLUTION= 0					41	242	.772E-01	.989	1.169	1.123	1.076
TRIAL	RUN	CRITERION A( 1) A( 2) A( 3) A( 4) A(					41	243	.766E-01	.989	1.189	1.123	1.076
34	199	.831E-01	.999	1.529	1.223	.876	41	244	.767E-01	.989	1.179	1.113	1.076
34	200	.828E-01	1.009	1.519	1.223	.876	41	245	.770E-01	.989	1.179	1.133	1.076
34	201	.828E-01	1.009	1.519	1.233	.876	41	246	.770E-01	.989	1.179	1.123	1.066
34	202	.825E-01	1.009	1.519	1.233	.886	41	247	.768E-01	.989	1.179	1.123	1.086
		PATTERN= 3 RESOLUTION= 0					41	247	.762E-01	.989	1.249	1.153	1.056
TRIAL	RUN	CRITERION A( 1) A( 2) A( 3) A( 4) A(					41	248	.768E-01	.999	1.249	1.153	1.056
34	198	.831E-01	.999	1.529	1.223	.876	41	249	.763E-01	.989	1.239	1.153	1.056
34	199	.829E-01	1.009	1.529	1.223	.876	41	250	.762E-01	.989	1.259	1.153	1.056
34	200	.828E-01	1.009	1.519	1.223	.876	41	251	.762E-01	.989	1.249	1.143	1.056
34	201	.828E-01	1.009	1.519	1.233	.876	41	252	.763E-01	.989	1.249	1.143	1.046
34	202	.825E-01	1.009	1.519	1.233	.886	41	253	.762F-01	.989	1.249	1.143	1.066
		PATTERN MOVE					41	253	.762F-01	.989	1.249	1.143	1.056
TRIAL	RUN	CRITERION A( 1) A( 2) A( 3) A( 4) A(					42	254	.762F-01	.989	1.249	1.133	1.056
35	203	.828E-01	1.019	1.509	1.243	.896	42	255	.765E-01	.999	1.249	1.133	1.056
35	204	.836E-01	1.029	1.509	1.243	.896	42	256	.761E-01	.989	1.239	1.123	1.056
35	205	.822E-01	1.009	1.509	1.243	.896						PATTERN MOVE	
35	206	.822E-01	1.009	1.499	1.243	.896							
35	207	.824E-01	1.009	1.499	1.253	.896							
35	208	.821E-01	1.009	1.499	1.233	.896							
35	209	.818E-01	1.009	1.499	1.233	.906							
35	209	.818E-01	1.009	1.499	1.233	.906							
		PATTERN MOVE											
TRIAL	RUN	CRITERION A( 1) A( 2) A( 3) A( 4) A(											
36	210	.812E-01	1.009	1.479	1.233	.926							
36	211	.810E-01	.999	1.479	1.233	.926							

## Computer Output--Continued

```

42 257 .761E-01 .989 1.239 1.123 1.056
42 258 .762E-01 .989 1.239 1.123 1.046
42 259 .762E-01 .989 1.239 1.123 1.066
42 259 .761E-01 .989 1.239 1.123 1.056
        PATTERN MOVE
43 260 .762E-01 .989 1.229 1.103 1.056
TRIAL RUN CRITERION A( 1) A( 2) A( 3) A( 4) A(
43 261 .764E-01 .999 1.229 1.103 1.056
43 262 .762E-01 .989 1.219 1.103 1.056
43 263 .763E-01 .989 1.239 1.103 1.056
43 264 .763E-01 .989 1.229 1.093 1.056
43 265 .762E-01 .989 1.229 1.113 1.056
43 266 .763E-01 .989 1.229 1.103 1.046
43 267 .762E-01 .989 1.229 1.103 1.056
        PATTERN= 4 RESOLUTION= 0
43 267 .761E-01 .989 1.239 1.123 1.056
TRIAL RUN CRITERION A( 1) A( 2) A( 3) A( 4) A(
43 268 .765E-01 .999 1.239 1.123 1.056
43 269 .762E-01 .989 1.229 1.123 1.056
43 270 .762E-01 .989 1.249 1.123 1.056
43 271 .762E-01 .989 1.239 1.113 1.056
43 272 .761E-01 .989 1.239 1.133 1.056
43 273 .762E-01 .989 1.239 1.123 1.046
43 274 .762E-01 .989 1.239 1.123 1.056
        PATTERN= 4 RESOLUTION= 1
43 274 .761E-01 .989 1.239 1.123 1.056
TRIAL RUN CRITERION A( 1) A( 2) A( 3) A( 4) A(
43 275 .763E-01 .994 1.239 1.123 1.056
43 276 .761E-01 .989 1.234 1.123 1.056
43 277 .762E-01 .989 1.244 1.123 1.056
43 278 .761E-01 .989 1.239 1.118 1.056
43 279 .761E-01 .989 1.239 1.128 1.056
43 280 .762E-01 .989 1.239 1.128 1.051
43 281 .761E-01 .989 1.239 1.128 1.061
43 281 .761E-01 .989 1.239 1.128 1.061
        PATTERN MOVE
44 282 .762E-01 .989 1.239 1.133 1.066
TRIAL RUN CRITERION A( 1) A( 2) A( 3) A( 4) A(
44 283 .761E-01 .984 1.239 1.133 1.066
44 284 .761E-01 .984 1.234 1.133 1.066
44 285 .761E-01 .984 1.234 1.138 1.066
44 286 .761E-01 .984 1.234 1.138 1.071
44 287 .760E-01 .984 1.234 1.138 1.061
44 287 .760E-01 .984 1.234 1.138 1.061
        PATTERN= 5 RESOLUTION= 1
45 288 .761E-01 .984 1.229 1.148 1.061
TRIAL RUN CRITERION A( 1) A( 2) A( 3) A( 4) A(
45 289 .763E-01 .989 1.229 1.148 1.061
45 290 .761E-01 .984 1.224 1.148 1.061
45 291 .761E-01 .984 1.234 1.148 1.061
45 292 .761E-01 .984 1.229 1.153 1.061
45 293 .760E-01 .984 1.229 1.143 1.061
45 294 .761E-01 .984 1.229 1.148 1.056
45 295 .761E-01 .984 1.229 1.148 1.066
        PATTERN= 5 RESOLUTION= 1
45 295 .760E-01 .984 1.234 1.138 1.061
TRIAL RUN CRITERION A( 1) A( 2) A( 3) A( 4) A(
45 296 .762E-01 .989 1.234 1.138 1.061
45 297 .760E-01 .984 1.229 1.138 1.061
45 298 .760E-01 .984 1.229 1.143 1.061
45 299 .760E-01 .984 1.229 1.133 1.061
45 300 .760E-01 .984 1.229 1.133 1.056

```




Figure 5. --Least-squares curve fitting using the Pattern Search method. Dashed curve: transcendental function using initial values of the coefficients. Solid curve: function using final values resulting from Pattern Search method.

## APPENDIX E

### OPTIMAL PARAMETERIZATION OF A WATERSHED MODEL

	Page
Introduction	44
Coefficient optimization	47
Evaluation criterion	48
Results	49
Summary	50
Schematic of the main and subroutine programs	51

## Introduction

The watershed model to be described is a modified version of the Stanford Watershed Model IV<sup>(1)</sup>. The major elements of the modified model are shown in figures 6 and 7. The detailed operations of the model will not be included. However, selected definitions are included, as needed, to present the coefficients being optimized.

Mean basin six-hour precipitation and daily potential evapotranspiration are the data inputs to the model. Water is stored in three distinct soil zones. The upper zone storage simulates the initial watershed response to rainfall and evapotranspiration takes place at the potential rate. The lower zone is the major storage zone and its level of storage determines infiltration rates and inflow to the groundwater storage. Evapotranspiration opportunity controls evapotranspiration rate from the lower zone storage.

The active groundwater storage supplies the base flow to the stream channel. Water passing from the lower zone must first fill the inactive groundwater zone before any water may enter the active zone.

(1) Crawford, N. H., (with Linsley, R. K.), "Digital Simulation in Hydrology: Stanford Watershed Model IV", Technical Paper Number 39, Civil Engineering Dept., Stanford University, July 1966.





Figure 6.--Flow chart for the Modified Stanford Watershed Model IV (Crawford, 1966). Part 1.



MODIFIED  
STANFORD WATERSHED  
MODEL FLOWCHART  
PART 2

Figure 7.-Flow chart for the Modified Stanford Watershed Model IV (Crawford, 1966). Part 2.

## Coefficient Optimization

Seventeen coefficients are subjected to the optimization routine. Six coefficients are used to reduce potential evapotranspiration to "actual" evapotranspiration. There is an upper and lower zone nominal storage value. Two coefficients define the shape of the infiltration curve. There are several routing coefficients. The following definitions give more detail on these coefficients (as a cross-reference the letters in figures 6 and 7 correspond to the model locations used below):

### Model Location A

FIA: fraction of the watershed that produces runoff from impervious areas

### Model Location B

FLZSN: lower zone nominal storage; an index of the storage limitation for the lower zone

CBI: six hour characteristic rate of infiltration; infiltration rate when the lower zone is at nominal storage

POW: defines the shape of the infiltration curve

### Model Location C

UZSN: upper zone nominal storage; an index of the storage limitations for the upper zone

### Model Location D

CC: defines the level of interflow relative to overland flow

FKSI: overland flow routing coefficient; percentage of calculated potential overland flow that reaches the channel in six hours

FLIRC: interflow routing coefficient; percentage of calculated interflow that reaches the channel in six hours

#### Model Location E

FLKK4: complement of the six hour fixed portion of the active groundwater storage recession factor

FKV: defines the magnitude of the variable portion of the active groundwater storage recession factor

FKGS: decay constant for the antecedent accretion to active groundwater storage

#### Model Location F

E(I): monthly percentage reductions applied to potential evapotranspiration for the months 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, and 12

#### Evaluation Criterion

The simulation time period is fifty (50) months. This includes four water years for which the evaluation criterion is computed and a two month buffer period prior to the first water year to be simulated. The buffer period allows the model's assumed initial moisture conditions (which are not involved in the optimization) to adjust to "actual" field conditions.

The objective function is the sum of the squared difference between simulated mean daily discharge and observed mean daily discharge. This type of evaluation criterion places more weight on matching peak flows rather than low streamflows. Therefore, the optimal parameterized watershed model will tend to simulate high flows better than the low flows. As mentioned before, the final coefficient values will depend in part on the choice of the type of optimizing criterion and the initial values of the coefficients.

The analysis for the Mad River Basin will be used as an example.

## Results

The Mad River above Springfield, Ohio is situated in the west central portion of Ohio. Its basin is 485 square miles in area. This basin is located in a humid climate with an average annual precipitation of 36.9 inches and runoff of 13.2 inches.

The streamflow records are rated as good; however, the mean basin precipitation was computed from 3 recording gages and 4 non-recording gages which are located within or close to the watershed. Daily potential evapo-transpiration values were calculated from observations of solar radiation, air temperature, dewpoint and wind at Indianapolis, Indiana.

The results of the optimization are shown in tables 2 and 3, and figure 8.

Table 2.-- The initial coefficient values and the final values obtained by pattern search optimization

Coefficient	Initial Value	Final Value
A(1)=FLZSN	12.000	3.928
A(2)=CBI	2.000	0.516
A(3)=POW	1.500	1.127
A(4)=CC	1.500	0.794
A(5)=UZSN	0.750	0.463
A(6)=FKV	1.250	1.457
A(7)=FIA	0.030	0.050
A(8)=FKGS	0.970	0.876
A(9)=FLIRC	0.100	0.030
A(10)=FKSI	0.750	0.857
A(11)=FLKK4	0.0025	0.0009
A(12)=E(10)	0.600	0.721
A(13)=E(12)	0.350	0.399
A(14)=E(2)	0.300	0.255
A(15)=E(4)	0.750	0.996
A(16)=E(6)	0.950	0.998
A(17)=E(8)	0.700	0.840

Evaluation Criterion

<u>Initial</u>	<u>Final</u>
$69.56 \times 10^7$	$5.469 \times 10^7$

Table 3.-- Statistical summary comparing the simulated and observed streamflow traces

Water Year	Mean Annual Flow (cfs)	Init/Final		Standard Error (cfs)		Correlation Coef.	
		ACT	SIM	SIM	Initial	Final	Initial
1956	444	383	399	394	207	.520	.893
1957*	405	507	446	540	168	.566	.967
1958*	674	808	662	615	242	.611	.950
1959*	620	608	633	983	177	.700	.993
1960*	323	396	324	186	129	.449	.783
1961	417	491	396	312	164	.742	.936
1962	405	441	436	400	408	.583	.558

\* water years used for coefficient optimization

### Summary

The modified Pattern Search optimization routine has been demonstrated to be a good objective watershed parameterization technique. The optimal coefficient values, however, are not to be interpreted as unique, but rather, as a good set of values among many other possible sets.

Complex models, such as is the watershed model, require modest amounts of computer time. The watershed model takes approximately 1.7 seconds on the CDC 6600 computer to simulate the 50 months of test streamflow record. If one assumes that the average number of runs per optimization study is 500,

then the total computation time will be less than fifteen (15) minutes. Thus, under most circumstances, direct search optimization applied to watershed parameterization should not require a prohibitive amount of computer time.

#### Schematic of the Main and Subroutine Programs

```
PROGRAM MAIN(INPUT, OUTPUT)
COMMONA(18),DDELTA(18),CHECKL(18),CHECKH(18)
COMMON OPTIM,NUMA,NSTART,NPER,KC,MAXN

        (additional MAIN PROGRAM DIMENSION STATEMENTS)

READ 1,NUMA,NPER,KC,MAXN
READ 2,(A(I),I=1,NUMA)
READ 2,(DDELTA(I),I=1,NUMA)
READ 2,(CHECKL(I),I=1,NUMA)
READ 2,(CHECKH(I),I=1,NUMA)

        (additional MAIN PROGRAM READ STATEMENTS)

8000    (start watershed model calculations)

        OPTIM="evaluation criterion"
        CALL OPT
        GO TO 8000
1      FORMAT(312,15)
2      FORMAT(10F6.4)

        (additional MAIN PROGRAM FORMAT STATEMENTS)

        END
```

```
SUBROUTINE OPT
```




Figure 8.--Selected Portions of the observed streamflow trace for the Mad River Basin, Ohio, the simulated trace using initial coefficient values, and the simulated trace using final values optimized by Pattern Search method.